

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/696,793	Applicant(s) WANG, CHENG CHUNG	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(2) Nelson Quintero.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 8, 11 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend the specification and claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to provide antecedent basis for subject matter of claim 8 in the specification and to obviate certain informalities in the claims. Applicant's representative also agreed to make the change to Fig. 1E as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.